

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT: CORTES, Ramon et al

SERIAL NO.: 11/541946

ART UNIT: 2617

FILED: March 16, 2006

EXAMINER: Zewari, S. T.

TITLE: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR DATA TRANSFER BETWEEN INTERACTIVE  
PUBLIC TERMINALS AND PERSONAL TERMINALS

Amendment A: REMARKS

Upon entry of the present amendments, Claims 1 - 21 have been canceled and new Claims 22-41 substituted therefor. Reconsideration of the rejections, in light of the forgoing amendments and present remarks, is respectfully requested. The present amendments have been entered for the purpose of more clearly distinguishing the present invention from the prior art.

In the Official Action, Claims 1 - 9, 12-14, 17-18, and 21 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Takae publication in view of the Frey patent. Claims 10-11, 15-16 and 19-20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Takae publication and known prior art. Claims 1-21 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph as being indefinite. The specification and drawings were objected to for failing to provide intelligible content as a direct English translation of a foreign language document.

As an overview to the present reply, Applicant has re-written the claims in a form compliant with U.S. standards. Claims 22-41 correspond to original Claims 1-5 and 7-21. The subject matter of Claim 6 has been canceled. Additionally, the specification and drawings have been corrected to include a description of the subject matter using proper English phrases and terminology. No new matter has been added by these amendment.

With the improved understanding of the translated specification and claims, the present invention is no longer anticipated by the prior art. The method of the present invention now specifies the order and process of the data selection for the personal electronic devices. The compatibility of each device is determined before or during the process, unlike the prior art combinations, which do not screen the specified data for personalization.

Based upon the foregoing analysis, Applicant contends that independent Claim 22 is now in a proper condition for allowance. Additionally, those claims that are dependent upon the independent claim are also in a condition for allowance. Reconsideration of the rejections and allowance of the present claims at an early date is earnestly solicited. Since no new claims have been added above those originally paid for, no additional fee is required.

Respectfully submitted,

July 29, 2008  
Date  
Customer No. 24106

/Andrew W. Chu/  
John S. Egbert; Reg. No. 30,627  
Andrew W. Chu; Reg. No. 46,625  
Egbert Law Offices PLLC  
412 Main Street, 7th Floor  
Houston, Texas 77002  
(713)224-8080  
(713)223-4873 fax